

Re: ratio of Libby to SC fibers

Bob Benson to: Bill Brattin

01/29/2013 10:45 AM

From: Bob Benson/R8/USEPA/US

To:

Cc: David Berry/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

My initial reaction is that the change from 10:1 to 8.7:1 is not worth the effort at this point. I would prefer waiting until we get the Enoree sample analyzed with the fluidized bed and then decide how to proceed.

-----"Brattin, Bill" <brattin@srcinc.com> wrote: -----

To: David Berry/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Bob Benson/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

From: "Brattin, Bill" <brattin@srcinc.com>

Date: 01/28/2013 08:10AM

Subject: ratio of Libby to SC fibers

FYI...

UC discovered that the data used to calculate the ratio of 10:1 for LA/SC fibers included the 15 duplicate samples later eliminated. After elimination, the calculated ratio changed to 8.7:1.

I think they want to redo the JEM and the cumulative exposure calcs.

That would mean recalculation of all the model fitting I have done (and also NCEA?).

I think the whole approach for estimation of the ratio is so uncertain that we should just stick with a factor of 10.

We can discuss sometime in the future, but I wanted to give you time to consider the options.

Bill Brattin

SRC, Inc.

999 18th Street Suite 1150

Denver CO 80202

Phone: 303-357-3121

Fax: 303-292-4755

e-mail: brattin@srcinc.com

[attachment "scan.pdf" removed by Bob Benson/R8/USEPA/US]